arXiv:1704.01597v1 [math.FA] 5 Apr 2017

FOURIER SERIES OF GEGENBAUER-SOBOLEV POLYNOMIALS

ÓSCAR CIAURRI AND JUDIT MÍNGUEZ

Abstract. We study the partial sum operator for a Sobolev-type inner product related to the classical Gegenbauer polynomials. A complete characterization of the partial sum operator in a appropriate Sobolev space is given. Moreover, we analyze the convergence of the partial sum operators.

1. Introduction

Let the Sobolev-type inner product be

$$\langle f,g\rangle_S = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x)\,d\mu_\alpha(x) + M(f(1)g(1)+f(-1)g(-1)) + N(f'(1)g'(1)+f'(-1)g'(-1)), \tag{1}$$

where $M \geq 0$, $N \geq 0$, and

$$d\mu_\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)}{2^{2\alpha+1}\Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}(1-x^2)^\alpha\,dx, \quad \alpha > -1/2,$$

is the probability measure corresponding to the Gegenbauer polynomials.

Let $\{Q_n^\alpha(x)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence of normalized Gegenbauer-Sobolev orthonormal polynomials with respect to the inner product (1). For each appropriate function f, we define its sequence of Fourier-Gegenbauer-Sobolev coefficients by

$$\widehat{f}(k) = \langle f, Q_k^\alpha\rangle_S, \qquad k = 0,1,\ldots,$$

and the n-th partial sum operator as

$$G_n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \widehat{f}(k)Q_k^\alpha(x), \qquad n = 0,1,\ldots.$$

Given $1 < p < \infty$, we say that $f \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ if f is a measurable function in $[-1,1]$ and

$$\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} = \left(\int_{-1}^{1} |f(x)|^p\,d\mu_\alpha(x)\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Let us define the measure $\mu_{\alpha,M} := \mu_\alpha + M(\delta_1+\delta_{-1})$. We consider the space W_p^α, with $1 < p < \infty$, as the set of equivalence classes, with respect to the (semi)norm in $L^p(\mu_{\alpha,M})$, of measurable functions defined on $[-1,1]$ such that there exists an element in the class f for which $f'(1)$ and $f'(-1)$ are defined, and

$$\|f\|_{W_p^\alpha}^p := \|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)}^p + M(|f(1)|^p + |f(-1)|^p) + N(|f'(1)|^p + |f'(-1)|^p) < \infty.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42A20. Secondary: 33C47.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev-type inner product, Sobolev polynomials, Gegenbauer polynomials, partial sum operator.

The authors were supported by grant MTM2015-65888-C04-4-P from Spanish Government.

The main target of this paper is the study of the uniform boundedness of the operators G_n. In fact, we will prove the following characterization.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha > -1/2$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $f \in W_p^\alpha$. There exists a constant C, independent of n and f, such that*

$$\|G_n f\|_{W_p^\alpha} \leq C\|f\|_{W_p^\alpha} \tag{2}$$

if and only if

$$\frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+3} < p < \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1}. \tag{3}$$

The uniform boundedness of the partial sum operators for Gegenbauer polynomials in $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ was given by Pollard [9] who extended it to the Jacobi setting in [10]. A general result including weights for Jacobi expansions can be seen in [8]. In [4], by applying the boundedness with weights of the Hilbert transform, the authors did a complete study of the boundedness of the partial sum operators related to generalized Jacobi weights. The same authors studied the generalized Jacobi weights with mass points on the interval $[-1, 1]$ (see [5]).

As far as we know, a complete characterization of the uniform boundedness of the partial sums in the Sobolev setting is completely new. The Fourier series associated to the Jacobi-Sobolev polynomials defined by the inner product

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x)(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta\,dx + \int_{-1}^{1} f'(x)g'(x)(1-x)^{\alpha+1}(1+x)^{\beta+1}\,dx$$

was analyzed in [6] but, unfortunately, the given results are not completely satisfactory.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on some results about multipliers and transplantation operators for Jacobi expansions proved by Muckenhoupt and other authors in the eighties of the last century (see [7] and the references therein).

From a standard argument, the uniform boundedness of the operator G_n will imply the convergence for functions in the class W_p^α if the polynomials form a dense class. However, the reverse implication is not true because the space W_p^α is not complete. The density of the polynomials is contained in the next result.

Theorem 1.2. *The set of polynomials is dense in the space W_p^α. That is, given $f \in W_p^\alpha$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a polynomial q_n of degree n such that*

$$\|f - q_n\|_{W_p^\alpha} < \varepsilon.$$

Now, from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we deduce the convergence for functions in the class W_p^α of the partial sums G_n.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $f \in W_p^\alpha$ with $\alpha > -1/2$ and $1 < p < \infty$. If*

$$\frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+3} < p < \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1},$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|G_n f - f\|_{W_p^\alpha} = 0.$$

In Section 2 we present the necessary definitions and results concerning to the Gegenbauer and Gegenbauer-Sobolev polynomials. Section 3 and Section 4 are devoted to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, respectively.

2. Definitions and auxiliary results

Let $\{R_n^\alpha\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence of Gegenbauer polynomials given by the Rodrigues formula

$$R_n^\alpha(x)=\frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}2^n\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)(1-x^2)^{-\alpha}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}((1-x^2)^{n+\alpha}).$$

If we call $\{B_n^\alpha\}_{n\geq 0}$ the sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to (1), the following relation between R_n^α and B_n^α was proved in [1]

$$\begin{aligned}(4)\quad B_n^\alpha(x)=&\frac{a_n(n+2\alpha+1)_4(-n)_4}{2^6(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(\alpha+1)_4}(1-x^2)^2R_{n-4}^{\alpha+4}(x)\\&+\frac{b_n(n+2\alpha+1)_2(-n)_2}{2^2(\alpha+1)_2}(1-x^2)R_{n-2}^{\alpha+2}(x)+c_nR_n^\alpha(x),\end{aligned}$$

where $(a)_n$ is the shifted factorial (or Pochhammer symbol), defined by $(a)_n=a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)=\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}a_n&=MN\frac{4(2\alpha+3)_n(2\alpha+3)_{n-2}}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)n!(n-2)!}+N\frac{2(2\alpha+3)_{n-1}}{(\alpha+1)(n-1)!},\\b_n&=-\frac{N}{2}\frac{(2\alpha+3)_{n-1}(n-2)(n+2\alpha+3)}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+3)(n-1)!}-2M\frac{(2\alpha+3)_{n-2}}{n!},\\c_n&=1-\frac{N}{2}\frac{(2\alpha+3)_{n+1}}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(n-3)!}.\end{aligned}$$

Here and elsewhere we use the convention that $R_n^\gamma\equiv 0$ if $n<0$.

In [3] it was proved the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_n^\alpha\|^2_{W_2^\alpha}=&\,2Mc_n^2+2N\left(\frac{n(n+2\alpha+1)}{2(\alpha+1)}+\frac{M(2\alpha+3)_n}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(n-1)!}\right)^2\\&+\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)\,n!}{(2n+2\alpha+1)\,\Gamma(n+2\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n+2\alpha+1)_4a_n^2}{16(\alpha+2)^2(\alpha+3)^2}\right.\\&n(n-1)(n+2\alpha+1)_2b_n^2-\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n+2\alpha+1)_2a_nb_n}{2(\alpha+2)_2}\\&\left.+c_n^2-2n(n-1)b_nc_n+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)a_nc_n}{2(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then $Q_n^\alpha(x)=\lambda_{n,\alpha}B_n^\alpha(x)$, where $\lambda_{n,\alpha}^{-2}=\|B_n^\alpha\|^2_{W_2^\alpha}$. Now, denoting by $\{P_n^\alpha\}_{n\geq 0}$ the sequence of orthonormal Gegenbauer polynomials, given by $P_n^\alpha=\beta_{n,\alpha}R_n^\alpha$ with

$$\beta_{n,\alpha}^{-2}=\|R_n^\alpha\|^2_{L^2(d\mu_\alpha)}=\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)n!}{(2n+2\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+2\alpha+1)},$$

from (4) we can write

$$(5)\qquad Q_n^\alpha(x)=A_{n,4}(1-x^2)^2P_{n-4}^{\alpha+4}(x)+A_{n,2}(1-x^2)P_{n-2}^{\alpha+2}(x)+A_{n,0}P_n^\alpha(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A_{n,4}&=\frac{a_n(n+2\alpha+1)_4(-n)_4}{2^6(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(\alpha+1)_4}\frac{\lambda_{n,\alpha}}{\beta_{n-4,\alpha+4}},\\A_{n,2}&=\frac{b_n(n+2\alpha+1)_2(-n)_2}{2^2(\alpha+1)_2}\frac{\lambda_{n,\alpha}}{\beta_{n-2,\alpha+2}},\end{aligned}$$

and $A_{n,0} = c_n \frac{\lambda_{n,\alpha}}{\beta_{n,\alpha}}$.

We consider the notations

$$g(n,J) = \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} \frac{d_j}{(n+1)^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^J}\right), \qquad J \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{6}$$

and

$$h(n,\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 2\alpha+2 \rfloor} \frac{D_j}{(n+1)^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2\alpha+2}}\right), \qquad \alpha > -1/2, \tag{7}$$

for some constants d_j and D_j that will be different in each occurrence of the $g(n,J)$ and $h(n,\alpha)$, respectively. With the previous notation, by using that

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+a)}{\Gamma(n+b)} = n^{a-b} g(n,J), \tag{8}$$

for any $J \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce in an easy way that

$$\lambda_{n,\alpha} = \begin{cases} (n+1)^{-\alpha-\frac{11}{2}} g(n,J), & M=0,\ N>0, \\ (n+1)^{-3\alpha-\frac{15}{2}} h(n,\alpha), & M>0,\ N>0, \\ (n+1)^{-\alpha-\frac{3}{2}} h(n,\alpha), & M>0,\ N=0, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

for any $J \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha > -1/2$. Then the constants $A_{n,4}$, $A_{n,2}$, and $A_{n,0}$ in (5) satisfy the following:*

i) *If $M = 0$ and $N > 0$,*

$$A_{n,4} = g(n,J), \quad A_{n,2} = g(n,J), \quad A_{n,0} = g(n,J),$$

for any $J \in \mathbb{N}$.

ii) *If $M > 0$ and $N > 0$,*

$$A_{n,4} = h(n,\alpha), \quad A_{n,2} = \frac{h(n,\alpha)}{(n+1)^{2\alpha+2}}, \quad A_{n,0} = \frac{h(n,\alpha)}{(n+1)^{2\alpha+2}}.$$

iii) *If $M > 0$ and $N = 0$,*

$$A_{n,4} = 0, \quad A_{n,2} = h(n,\alpha), \quad A_{n,0} = \frac{h(n,\alpha)}{(n+1)^{2\alpha+2}}.$$

Proof. From (8) we have

$$a_n = \begin{cases} (n+1)^{2\alpha+2} g(n,J), & M=0,\ N>0, \\ (n+1)^{4\alpha+4} h(n,\alpha), & M>0,\ N>0, \\ 0, & M>0,\ N=0, \end{cases}$$

$$b_n = \begin{cases} (n+1)^{2\alpha+4} g(n,J), & M=0,\ N>0, \\ (n+1)^{2\alpha+4} g(n,J), & M>0,\ N>0, \\ (n+1)^{2\alpha} g(n,J), & M>0,\ N=0, \end{cases}$$

$$c_n = \begin{cases} (n+1)^{2\alpha+6} g(n,J), & M=0,\ N>0, \\ (n+1)^{2\alpha+6} g(n,J), & M>0,\ N>0, \\ 1, & M>0,\ N=0, \end{cases}$$

and $\beta_{n,\alpha} = (n+1)^{\alpha+1/2} g(n,J)$, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, using that (9), the result follows. □

The following results, that we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.1, can be found in [3]. The notation appearing in the Lemma 2.3, $f(n) \approx g(n)$, indicates the existence of positive constants C and D such that $Cf(n) \leq g(n) \leq Df(n)$ for n large enough.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{Q_n^\alpha\}_n$ be the sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to the inner product* (1)*, then*

$$\max_{-1\leq x\leq 1} (1-x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} |Q_n^\alpha(x)| \leq C.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{Q_n^\alpha\}_n$ be the sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to the inner product* (1)*, then*

$$|Q_n^\alpha(1)| = |Q_n^\alpha(-1)| \approx \begin{cases} (n+1)^{-\alpha-3/2}, & M>0,\, N\geq 0 \\ (n+1)^{\alpha+1/2}, & M=0,\, N>0. \end{cases}$$

$$|(Q_n^\alpha)'(1)| = |(Q_n^\alpha)'(-1)| \approx \begin{cases} (n+1)^{-\alpha-7/2}, & M\geq 0,\, N>0 \\ (n+1)^{\alpha+5/2}, & M>0,\, N=0. \end{cases}$$

Let $S_n^\gamma f$ be the n-th partial sum of Fourier expansion in terms of orthonormal Gegenbauer polynomials,

$$S_n^\gamma f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k^\gamma(f) P_k^\gamma(x), \quad d_k^\gamma(f) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) P_k^\gamma(x)\, d\mu_\gamma(x).$$

From the main result in [7] we can deduce the following result

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\gamma > -1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. There exists a constant C, independent of n and f, such that*

$$\|(1-(\cdot)^2)^a S_n^\gamma f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\gamma)} \leq C \|(1-(\cdot)^2)^a f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\gamma)}$$

if and only if

$$\left| a + (\gamma+1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| < \frac{1}{4}. \tag{10}$$

Let d be an integer number. We define the transplantation operator

$$T_d^{\beta,\gamma} f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k^\gamma(f) P_{k+d}^\beta(x).$$

The operator $T_d^{\beta,\gamma}$ is well defined, for example, for functions f having a finite expansion in terms of the Gegenbauer polynomials P_n^γ. The following result plays a crucial role in our work. It is essentially a special case of a general weighted transplantation theorem due to Muckenhoupt, see [8, Theorem 1.6].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\gamma > -1$, $\beta > -1$, and $1 < p < \infty$. If $2(b+1) > -p(\beta+1/2)$ then*

$$\left(\int_{-1}^{1} |T_d^{\beta,\gamma} f(x)|^p (1-x^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(\beta+1/2)+b}\, dx\right)^{1/p} \leq C\left(\int_{-1}^{1} |f(x)|^p (1-x^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(\gamma+1/2)+b}\, dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

The last tool that we will need for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is related to the boundedness of a specific multiplier for Gegenbauer expansions. We define the operator

$$R^\gamma f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_k^\gamma(f)}{k+1} P_k^\gamma(x).$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\gamma > -1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. If $|2b+1| < p$ and*

$$\left| \frac{2(b+1)}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \min\{\gamma + 1, 1/2\},$$

then

$$\left(\int_{-1}^{1} |R^\gamma f(x)|^p (1-x^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(\gamma+1/2)+b}\, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{-1}^{1} |f(x)|^p (1-x^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(\gamma+1/2)+b}\, dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

This lemma is a particular case of [8, Theorem 1.10] because the multiplier $1/(k+1)$ belongs to the class $M(1,1)$ there defined.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Taking the kernel

$$L_n(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q_k^\alpha(x) Q_k^\alpha(y),$$

it is easy to see that

$$G_n f(x) = \langle L_n(x,y), f \rangle_S.$$

Recall that

$$\|G_n f\|_{W_p^\alpha}^p = \|G_n f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)}^p + M(|G_n f(1)|^p + |G_n f(-1)|^p) + N(|(G_n f)'(1)|^p + (G_n f)'(-1)|^p).$$

The necessity of the condition (3) is a consequence of [2, Theorem 1] and its sufficiency will be obtained from two following propositions.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\alpha > -1/2$ and $1 < p < \infty$. If (3) holds, then*

$$\|G_n f(x)\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{W_p^\alpha}, \tag{11}$$

where C is a constant independent of n and f.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\alpha > -1/2$ and $1 < p < \infty$. If (3) holds, then*

$$M(|G_n f(1)|^p + |G_n f(-1)|^p) + N(|(G_n f)'(1)|^p + |(G_n f)'(-1)|^p) \leq C \|f\|_{W_p^\alpha},$$

where C is a constant independent of n and f.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. From Minkowski's inequality, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}\|G_n f(x)\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} &\le \left(\int_{-1}^1 \left|\int_{-1}^1 f(y)L_n(x,y)\,d\mu_\alpha(y)\right|^p\, d\mu_\alpha(x)\right)^{1/p}\\ &\quad+\left(\int_{-1}^1 |M(f(1)L_n(x,1)+f(-1)L_n(x,-1)|^p\,d\mu_\alpha(x)\right)^{1/p}\\ &\quad+\left(\int_{-1}^1 \left|N(f'(1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(x,1)+f'(-1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(x,-1))\right|^p\, d\mu_\alpha(x)\right)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

First, it will be proved that

$$\left(\int_{-1}^1 \left|\int_{-1}^1 f(x)L_n(x,y)\,d\mu_\alpha(y)\right|^p\, d\mu_\alpha(x)\right)^{1/p} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \tag{12}$$

Using (5), we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(y)L_n(x,y)\,d\mu_\alpha(y) = \sum_{j,m\in\{4,2,0\}} M_n^{j,m}f(x),$$

where

$$M_n^{j,m}f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 f(y)K_n^{j,m}(x,y)\,d\mu_\alpha(y)$$

and

$$K_n^{j,m}(x,y) = (1-x^2)^{j/2}(1-y^2)^{m/2}\sum_{k=0}^n A_{k,j}A_{k,m}P_{k-j}^{\alpha+j}(x)P_{k-m}^{\alpha+m}(y).$$

By using a standard duality argument, to deduce (12) it is enough to prove

$$\|M_n^{j,m}f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)}$$

for $m \le j$.

By Lemma 2.1, each operator $M_n^{j,m}$ can be decomposed as

$$M_n^{j,m}f(x) = S_0M_n^{j,m,0}f(x) + S_1M_n^{j,m,1}f(x) + S_2M_n^{j,m,2}f(x),$$

for some nonnegative constants S_0, S_1 and S_2, with

$$M_n^{j,m,s}f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 f(y)K_n^{j,m,s}(x,y)\,d\mu_\alpha(y), \quad s=0,1,2,$$

$$K_n^{j,m,s}(x,y) = (1-x^2)^{j/2}(1-y^2)^{m/2}\sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{-s}P_{k-j}^{\alpha+j}(x)P_{k-m}^{\alpha+m}(y), \quad s=0,1,$$

and

$$|K_n^{j,m,2}(x,y)| \le C(1-x^2)^{j/2}(1-y^2)^{m/2}\sum_{k=0}^n k^{-\theta}|P_{k-j}^{\alpha+j}(x)||P_{k-m}^{\alpha+m}(y)|,$$

where $\theta > 1$.

From the well-known estimate

$$|P_n^\alpha(x)| \le C(1-x^2)^{-(\alpha/2+1/4)},$$

with C a constant independent of n, we deduce

$$\|(1-(\cdot)^2)^{j/2}P_{n-j}^{\alpha+j}\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \le C$$

for $p < 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+1)$. In this way, applying Hölder inequality,

$$\|M_n^{j,m,2} f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)},$$

for each p verifying (3).

It is easy to check that

$$M_n^{j,j,0} f(x) = K_\alpha (1-x^2)^{j/2} S_{n-j}^{\alpha+j} g(x),$$

for a constant K_α, with $j = 4, 2, 0$ and $g(x) = (1-x^2)^{-j/2} f(x)$. Then, if p satisfies (3), from Lemma 2.4, with $a = j(1/2 - 1/p)$ and $\gamma = \alpha + j$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\|M_n^{j,j,0} f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} &= K_\alpha \|(1-(\cdot)^2)^{j(1/2-1/p)} S_{n-j}^{\alpha+j} g\|_{L^p(d\mu_{\alpha+j})} \\ &\leq C\|(1-(\cdot)^2)^{j(1/2-1/p)} g\|_{L^p(d\mu_{\alpha+j})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $m < j$, we can check that

$$M_n^{j,m,0} f(x) = C_\alpha (1-x^2)^{j/2} T_{m-j}^{\alpha+j,\alpha+m}(S_{n-m}^{\alpha+m} h)(x),$$

for a constant C_α, whith $h(x) = (1-x^2)^{-m/2} f(x)$. So, using Lemma 2.5 with $\beta = \alpha + j$, $\gamma = \alpha + m$, and $b = \alpha - p(\alpha+1/2)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|M_n^{j,m,0} f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} &= C_\alpha \|(1-(\cdot)^2)^{j/2} T_{m-j}^{\alpha+j,\alpha+m}(S_{n-m}^{\alpha+m} h)\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \\ &\leq C\|(1-(\cdot)^2)^{m/2} S_{n-m}^{\alpha+m} h\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)}\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used Lemma 2.4 as we have done for $M_n^{j,j,0}$.

To analyze the operators $M_n^{j,m,1}$ we observe the identities

$$M_n^{j,j,1} f(x) = K_\alpha (1-x^2)^{j/2} R^{\alpha+j}(S_{n-j}^{\alpha+j} g)(x), \quad j = 4, 2, 0$$

and

$$M_n^{j,m,1} f(x) = C_\alpha (1-x^2)^{j/2} T_{m-j}^{\alpha+j,\alpha+m}(R^{\alpha+m}(S_{n-m}^{\alpha+m} h))(x), \quad m < j,$$

with $g(x) = (1-x^2)^{-j/2} f(x)$ and $h(x) = (1-x^2)^{-m/2} f(x)$. Then the boundedness of these operators follows as in the previous cases but using moveover the estimate

$$\|(1-(\cdot)^2)^{j/2} R^{\alpha+j} f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C\|(1-(\cdot)^2)^{j/2} f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)},$$

which can be deduced from Lemma 2.6 taking $b = \alpha - p(\alpha+1/2)/2$ and $\gamma = \alpha + j$ under the assumption (3). In this way the proof of (12) is completed.

To finish the proof of (11), we are going to prove the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-1}^{1} |M(f(1)L_n(x,1) + f(-1)L_n(x,-1)|^p (1-x^2)^\alpha \, dx \\ \leq CM^p(|f(1)|^p + |f(-1)|^p).\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-1}^{1} \left| N\left(f'(1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(x,1) + f'(-1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(x,-1)\right)\right|^p (1-x^2)^\alpha \, dx \\ \leq CN^p(|f'(1)|^p + |f'(-1)|^p).\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

For (13) we suppose $M > 0$, because in other case this element does not appear in the norm. From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$|L_n(x,1)| \leq C(1-x^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}, \qquad |L_n(x,-1)| \leq C(1-x^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Then (13) is deduced immediately because the integral

$$\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x^2)^{-\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+1/2)+\alpha}\,dx \tag{15}$$

is finite for $p<4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+1)$.

To prove (14) we suppose $N>0$, because if $N=0$ the inequality is trivially true. Again, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain the bounds

$$\left|\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(x,1)\right|\leq C(1-x^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}},\qquad \left|\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(x,-1)\right|\leq C(1-x^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Then, as in the previous case, (14) is a consequence of the finiteness of the integral (15). □

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We are going to show the estimates

$$|G_nf(1)|\leq C\|f\|_{W_p^\alpha},\ \text{for } M>0, \tag{16}$$

and

$$|(G_nf)'(1)|\leq C\|f\|_{W_p^\alpha},\ \text{for } N>0. \tag{17}$$

The analysis of $|G_nf(-1)|$, for $M>0$, and $|(G_nf)'(-1)|$, for $N>0$, are completely similar and the details will be omitted.

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}G_nf(1)=\int_{-1}^{1}f(y)L_n(1,y)\,d\mu_\alpha(y)+M(f(1)L_n(1,1)+f(-1)L_n(1,-1))\\ +N\bigg(f'(1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(1,1)+f'(-1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(1,-1)\bigg).\end{aligned}$$

If $M>0$, from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 it is obtained that

$$|L_n(1,y)|\leq C(1-y^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Then, applying Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{-1}^{1}f(y)L_n(1,y)\,d\mu_\alpha(y)\right|&\leq C\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}(1-y^2)^{-\frac{q}{2}(\alpha+1/2)+\alpha}dy\right)^{p/q}\\ &\leq C\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)}\end{aligned}$$

because the last integral converges if $q<4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+1)$, which is equivalent to $p>4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+3)$. On the other hand, using again Lemma 2.3 we deduce the bounds

$$|L_n(1,1)|\leq C,\qquad |L_n(1,-1)|\leq C,\ \text{for } N\geq 0$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(1,1)\right|\leq C,\qquad \left|\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(1,-1)\right|\leq C,\ \text{for } N>0,$$

which imply, analyzing separately the cases $N>0$ and $N=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bigg|M(f(1)L_n(1,1)+f(-1)L_n(1,-1))+N\Big(f'(1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(1,1)+f'(-1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial y}(1,-1)\Big)\bigg|\\ \leq C(M(|f(1)|+|f(-1)|)+N(|f'(1)|+|f'(-1)|)),\end{aligned}$$

and (16) is proved.

From the identity

$$(G_nf)'(1) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(y)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial x}(1,y)\,d\mu_\alpha + M\bigg(f(1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial x}(1,1) + f(-1)\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial x}(1,-1)\bigg) + N\bigg(f'(1)\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial x\partial y}(1,1) + f'(-1)\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial x\partial y}(1,-1)\bigg),$$

and the estimates for $N > 0$, deduced from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3,

$$\left|\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial x}(1,y)\right| \leq C(1-y^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}},$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial x}(1,1)\right| \leq C, \qquad \left|\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial x}(1,-1)\right| \leq C,$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial x\partial y}(1,1)\right| \leq C, \qquad \left|\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial x\partial y}(1,-1)\right| \leq C,$$

the proof of (17) is obtained in the same way as (16). □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. Let $f \in W_p^\alpha$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. From [11, Theorem 4.1], we have that the space $C_c^\infty([-1,1])$ is dense in $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$. Then, there exists a function $g \in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$ such that

$$\|f-g\|_{L_p(d\mu_\alpha)} < \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{4},$$

with $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon/(1+M+N)$. We take now a function $h \in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$ that satisfies

$$\|h\|_{L_p(d\mu_\alpha)} < \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{4},$$

and

$$h(1) = f(1) - g(1), \quad h(-1) = f(-1) - g(-1),$$
$$h'(1) = f'(1) - g'(1), \quad h'(-1) = f'(-1) - g'(-1).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f-(g+h)\|_{W_p^\alpha} &= \|f-(g+h)\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} \\ &\quad + M((|f(1)-(g+h)(1)|^p + |f(-1)-(g+h)(-1)|^p))^{1/p} \\ &\quad + N((|f'(1)-(g+h)'(1)|^p + |f'(-1)-(g+h)'(-1)|^p))^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|f-g\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} + \|h\|_{L^p(d\mu_\alpha)} < \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, given $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ there exists a polynomial q_n of degree n such that

$$\|g+h-q_n\|_\infty < \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2}, \quad \|(g+h)'-q_n'\|_\infty < \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2}.$$

Then, $\|f-q_n\|_{W_p}^p < \varepsilon$ and the proof is completed. □

Acknowledgement. The authors are highly indebted to professor J. M. Rodríguez for his helpful comments about the proof of Theorem 1.2.

References

[1] H. Bavinck and H. G. Meijer, Orthogonal polynomials with respect to a symmetric inner product involving derivatives, *Appl. Anal.* **33** (1989), 103–117.

[2] B. Xh. Fejzullahu and F. Marcellán, A Cohen type inequality for Gegenbauer-Sobolev expansions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **43** (2013), 135–148.

[3] A. Foulquié Moreno, F. Marcellán, and B. P. Osilenker, Estimates for polynomials orthogonal with respect to some Gegenbauer-Sobolev type inner product, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **3** (1999), 401–419.

[4] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz, and J. L. Varona, Weighted L^p-boundedness of Fourier series with respect to generalized Jacobi weights, *Publ. Mat.* **35** (1991), 449–459.

[5] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz, and J. L. Varona, Weighted norm inequalities for polynomial expansions associated to some measures with mass points, *Constr. Approx.* **12** (1996), 341–360.

[6] F. Marcellán, Y. Quintana, and A. Urieles, On the Pollard decomposition method applied to some Jacobi-Sobolev expansions, *Turkish J. Math.* **37** (2013), 934–948.

[7] B. Muckenhoupt, Mean convergence of Jacobi series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (1969), 306–310.

[8] B. Muckenhoupt, Transplantation theorems and multiplier theorems for Jacobi series, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (1986).

[9] H. Pollard, The mean convergence of orthogonal series. II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948), 355–367.

[10] H. Pollard, The mean convergence of orthogonal series. III, *Duke Math. J.* **16** (1949), 189–191.

[11] J. M. Rodríguez, V. Álvarez, E. Romera, and D. Pestana, Generalized weighted Sobolev spaces and applications to Sobolev orthogonal polynomials II, *Approx. Theory Appl. (N. S.)* **18** (2002), 1–32.

(Ó. Ciaurri) Departamento de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja, 26006 Logroño, Spain

E-mail address: oscar.ciaurri@unirioja.es

(J. Mínguez) Departamento de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja, 26006 Logroño, Spain

E-mail address: judit.minguez@unirioja.es